## A Remark on the Lower Semi-Continuity of the Set-Valued Metric Projection

HEINRICH KRÜGER

III. Mathematisches Institut, Freie Universität Berlin, 1000 Berlin 33, West Germany

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received July 31, 1978

During the last 10 years, a series of papers have been concerned with continuity properties of the set-valued metric projection from a normed linear space to a proximal linear subspace. The metric projection is the mapping defined by

$$E \to 2^G,$$
  
$$x \mapsto P_G(x) := \{g \in G : ||x - g|| = d(x, G)\},$$

where E is a normed linear space and  $G \subseteq E$  a proximial linear subspace. In this context, lower and upper semi-continuity and continuous selections are three of the most important notions: Let  $F: E \rightarrow 2^G$  be a set-valued mapping (E, G Hausdorff-spaces.)

*F* is called lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.), iff, for each  $x \in E$  and each open subset  $U \subset G$  with  $U \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V \subset E$  of x such that  $U \cap F(y) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $y \in V$ .

*F* is called upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.), iff, for each  $x \in E$  and each open subset  $U \subseteq G$  with  $F(x) \subseteq U$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V \subseteq E$  of x with  $F(y) \subseteq U$  for each  $y \in V$ .

A single-valued mapping s:  $E \to G$  is called a selection for F, iff  $s(x) \in F(x)$  for each  $x \in E$ .

A well-known theorem of Michael [4, Theorem 3.2"] states that an l.s.c. set-valued mapping from a paracompact Hausdorff-space into a Banach-space with closed convex images always admits a continuous selection.

In his paper [5], Nürnberger introduces the following notion:

Let E be a normed linear space and  $G \subseteq E$  a proximinal linear subspace. A selection s:  $E \to G$  for  $P_G$  is said to have the "Nulleigenschaft," iff s(x) = 0 for each  $x \in E$  with  $0 \in P_G(x)$ .

Using this notion, the lower semi-continuity of the set-valued metric projection can be characterized as follows:

## HEINRICH KRÜGER

**1. PROPOSITION.** Let E be a normed linear space,  $G \subseteq E$  a proximal linear subspace; compare the following two statements:

- (a)  $P_G$  admits a continuous selection with the "Nulleigenschaft"
- (b)  $P_G$  is l.s.c.

We have (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and if G is complete, also (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $x \in E$  and  $U \subseteq G$  be an open subset with  $P_G(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , furthermore let  $g \in P_G(x) \cap U$ ; we have  $0 \in P_G(x-g) \cap (U-g)$  and hence s(x-g) = 0. Now, let  $V \subseteq E$  be a neighborhood of x with  $s(y-g) \in U-g$  for each  $y \in V$ . It follows  $s(y-g) + g \in P_G(y) \cap U$  and therefore  $P_G(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for each  $y \in V$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Note that  $P_G^{-1}(0) := \{x \in E: 0 \in P_G(x)\}$  is a closed subset of E; hence, the set-valued mapping

$$E \rightarrow 2^{G}$$

$$x \rightarrow \{0\} \qquad \text{if} \quad x \in P_{G}^{-1}(0)$$

$$\mapsto P_{G}(x) \qquad \text{if} \quad x \notin P_{G}^{-1}(0)$$

is l.s.c.. The rest is a consequence of the abovementioned theorem of Michael [4].

The following two older results relate this criterion with the situation in concrete spaces:

2. THEOREM. (Blatter et al. [1, Theorem 2]). Let Q be a compact Hausdorffspace;  $G \subseteq C(Q)$  a proximal linear subspace such that  $P_G(x)$  is a finitedimensional subset of G for each  $x \in C(Q)$ . Compare the following two statements:

(a)  $P_G$  is l.s.c.

(b)  $ZP_G(x) := \{q \in Q : g(q) = 0 \text{ f.e. } g \in P_G(x)\}$  is an open set for each  $x \in P_G^{-1}(0)$ .

We have (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and if  $P_G$  is u.s.c., also (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

This theorem has been generalized by Brosowski and Wegmann [2] to spaces of the type  $C_0(Q, X)$  where Q is a locally compact Hausdorff-space and X is a strictly normed linear space ( $C_0(Q, X)$ ) is the space of all continuous functions from Q to X vanishing at infinity).

3. THEOREM (Lazar et al. [3, Theorem 1.1]). Let  $(T, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $G \subseteq L_1(T, \mu)$  an n-dimensional linear subspace  $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . The following two statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $P_G$  is l.s.c.
- (b) There does not exist an  $f \in G^{\perp} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq L_{\infty}(T, \mu)$  and  $a \in G$  with
  - (o)  $S(f) := \{t \in T : |f(t)| < ||f||\}$  is the union of at most (n 1) atoms
  - (i)  $S(f) \subset Z(g) := \{t \in T : g(t) = 0\}$
  - (ii) supp(g) is not the union of a finite number of atoms.

(All relations between subsets of T are to be understood modulo a set with measure zero).

A part of the paper of Nürnberger [5] is concerned with developing criteria for the existens of continuous selections with the "Nulleigenschaft." We are now able to give this alternative approach to his results:

4. COROLLARY. Let Q be a compact Hausdorff-space,  $G \subseteq C(Q)$  a proximal linear subspace such that for each  $x \in C(Q)$ ,  $P_G(x)$  is a finite-dimensional set. Compare the following two statements:

- (a)  $P_G$  admits a continuous selection with the "Nulleigenschaft"
- (b)  $ZP_G(x)$  is an open set for each  $x \in P_G^{-1}(0)$ .

We have (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and if  $P_G$  is u.s.c., also (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

Proof. This is another formulation of Theorem 3.

5. COROLLARY. Let Q be a compact Hausdorff-space,  $G \subseteq C(Q)$  a proximal linear subspace such that  $P_G(x)$  is a finite-dimensional set for each  $x \in C(Q)$ ; moreover, let  $P_G$  be u.s.c.; then:

(1)  $P_G$  admits a continuous selection if it is l.s.c.

(2)  $P_G$  is 1.s.c., if it admits a continuous selection with the "Nulleigen-schaft."

*Proof.* This is a very weak form of Proposition 1.

6. COROLLARY. Let  $(T, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $G = L\{g\} \subset L_1(T, \mu)$  a one-dimensional linear subspace; the following two statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $P_G$  is l.s.c.
- (b) There does not exist an  $f \in G^{\perp} \setminus \{0\}$  with
  - (i)  $S(f) \subset Z(g)$
  - (ii) supp(g) is not the union of a finite number of atoms.

HEINRICH KRÜGER

*Proof.* We have to show only that condition (b) is equivalent to the formally weaker condition (b) of Theorem 3 (for one-dimensional G!); this is obvious, for, once we have found an  $f \in G^{\perp} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $S(f) \subset Z(g)$ , we can change the values of f on Z(g) in an appropriate way to get  $\mu(S(f)) = 0$  without dropping the condition  $\int_T f(t)g(t) d\mu(t) = 0$ .

Corollary 4 contains [5, Satz 9]; Corollary 5 is identical with [5, Satz 12]; Corollary 6 contains [5, Satz 14].

## References

- 1. BLATTER, P. D. MORRIS, AND D. E. WULBERT, Continuity of the set valued metric projection, *Math. Ann.* 178 (1968), 12-34.
- 2. B. BROSOWSKI AND WEGMANN, On the lower semi continuity of the set valued metric projection, J. Approximation Theory 8 (1973), 84–100.
- 3. A. J. LAZAR, P. D. MORRIS, AND D. E. WULBERT, Continuous selections for the set valued metric projection, J. Functional Anal. 3 (1969), 193-216.
- 4. MICHAEL, Continuous selections, 1, Ann. of Math. 63, No. 2 (1956), 361-382.
- 5. G. NÜRNBERGER, Schnitte für die metrische Projektion, J. Approximation Theory 20 (1977), 196–219.